

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. F		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/650,587		08/28/2003	Thomas P. Steele	02013-00	7445	
8015	7590	05/17/2006		EXAMINER		
		LIES INC.	POULOS, SANDRA K			
P.O. BOX	ST MAIN S : 60	SIREEI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
STAMFO	RD, CT	06904-0060	1714			
				DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	. <u> </u>				/			
		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			,587	STEELE ET AL.				
			ner	Art Unit				
			K. Poulos	1714				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on	he cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANSIONS OF THE MANSIO	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. tutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be a will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frogapplication to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this co NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>17 February :</u>	<u>2006</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practic	e under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or	b) ☐ objected to by the	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		· 		J-152)			
3) Infor			5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTC)-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

All outstanding objections are overcome by applicant's amendment filed February 17,
 2006.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1-2, 5, 7-9, 12, and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hyan et al in US 6,221,115.

The rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 7 of Office action mailed 8/18/05 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 3-4, 6, 10-11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hyan in view of Wood et al in US 6,489,383.

The rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 9 of Office action mailed 8/18/05 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 2/17/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Specifically, applicants argue that:

(a) Hyan fails to teach a hindered hydroxybenzoate.

Art Unit: 1714

(b) The benzoate in the examples is taught as an example of a UV absorber, not something to be combined with a UV absorber.

- (c) Hyan provides a long list of possible UV absorbers.
- (d) Wood and Hyan are directed to compositions for stabilizing candle wax against fading rather than addressing premature fragrance release.

With respect to argument (a), Hyan discloses 4-tert-butylphenyl 2-hydroxybenzoate in Example 21, which would have the formula:

4-tert-butylphenyl-2-hydroxybenzoate

and discloses 4-tert-octyl 2-hydroxybenzoate in Example 40, which would have the formula:

4-tert-octylphenyl-2-hydroxybenzoate

It can be seen from the above formulae that the compounds in Examples 21 and 40 demonstrate the use of hindered hydroxybenzoates.

With respect to argument (b), Examples 21 discloses 4-tert-butylphenyl 2-hydroxybenzoate (hindered hydroxybenzoate) in combination with 2,4-bis[(1-cyclohyloxy-2,2,6,6-tetamethylpiperidin-4-yl) butylamino-6-]2-hydroxyethylamino-s-triazine (a UV absorber). Example 40 discloses 4-tert-octyl 2-hydroxybenzoate (hindered hydroxybenzoate) in combination with bis(1-(2-hydroxy-2-methylpropoxy)-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperdin-4-yl) sebacate (a UV absorber). Thus, Hyan teaches a combination of hindered hydroxybenzoate and UV absorber.

With respect to argument (c), Hyan does include numerous UV absorbers in his specification, however, since hindered hydroxybenzoate is specifically used in examples, there is anticipation for the use of those hindered hydroxybenzoates with UV absorber.

With respect to argument (d), that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., premature fragrance release) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Thus the argument that Hyan and Wood do not address premature fragrance release are moot because the current claims are also silent with respect to premature fragrance release.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/650,587 Page 5

Art Unit: 1714

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra K. Poulos whose telephone number is (571) 272-6428. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sandra K. Poulos

VASU JAGANNATHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700